

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/762,152	01/21/2004	. Dean Larry DuVal	9496	4966
27752 7	590 11/03/2006		EXAMINER	
•	ER & GAMBLE CO	GRAVINI, STEPHEN MICHAEL		
	AL PROPERTY DIVI L BUSINESS CENTE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,	HILL AVENUE		3749	
CINCINNATI	OH 45224		DATE MAILED: 11/03/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		$ \sqrt{J} $	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/762,152	DUVAL ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Stephen Gravini	3749	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet t	vith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become a	IICATION. The reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communicated the c	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 July</u> 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Exposition of Claims	s action is non-final. nce except for formal ma	•	s is
4) Claim(s) <u>12-17,31,38 and 39</u> is/are pending in	the application		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>12-17,31,38 and 39</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeya tion is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. Is have been received in rity documents have bee u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application	

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 13, 38, and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Reynolds (US 6,190,420). Reynolds is considered to disclose the claimed invention comprising:

- a) monitoring an operating temperature of a drying apparatus (col. 2, I. 20) during a drying cycle of said drying apparatus; and
- b) applying a fabric treatment composition comprising a perfume (col. 2, I. 10) and a treatment material (col. 2, I. 2) to a fabric article (col. 2, I. 15) during said drying cycle of said drying apparatus (col. 2, I. 20), said application occurring after said drying apparatus has reached a first control operating temperature equal to or higher than about 60 degrees C (col. 4, II. 35-37) and after said drying apparatus has reached a second operating temperature of less than about 60 degrees C (col. 4, II. 35-37) but before said drying apparatus has reached a third operating temperature of about 25 degrees C.

It is noted that all driers have a heating sub-cycle (from ambient to operational temperature), a constant operational temperature sub-cycle, and cooling sub-cycle (from the operational temperature to ambient).

Art Unit: 3749

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 12, 14-18, and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reynolds. Reynolds is considered to disclose the claimed invention, as rejected above, except for the claimed specific weight percentages, operating temperatures, boiling or flash point, or selected group material. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to provide specific values as claimed since the teachings of Reynolds would perform the invention as claimed regardless of the claimed specific values.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims

Application/Control Number: 10/762,152

Art Unit: 3749

are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 12-18, 32, 38, and 39 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 10-19 of copending Application No. 10/839,549. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to claim the present application specific parameter values, since both applications would perform the claimed invention regardless of the claimed value.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Gravini whose telephone number is 571 272

Application/Control Number: 10/762,152

Art Unit: 3749

4875. The examiner can normally be reached on normal weekday business hours (east coast time).

Page 5

Stephen Gram

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Josiah C. Cocks can be reached on 571 272 4874. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SMG

October 20, 2006